

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,441	FERNANDEZ-CORBATON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ	2123	

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ (Examiner). (3) ____.
 (2) LINDA G. GUNDERSON (Applicant's representative). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 5 August 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

17,23 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 17, 23 and 27 were discussed. Please see attached Examiner's amendment. Claims 17 and 23 are amended to include an antenna to tie the method to a particular structure. In claim 27, the language "information indicative of" has been deleted from the claim to conform to the language of the other claims, with the understanding that claim features like "generating a second order mathematical model" are construed as including generating a digital representation of a second order mathematical model, and the like.